

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/710,487	11/10/2000	John Josef Hench	ohn Josef Hench 1340P082	
7:	590 03/10/2004	EXAMINER		
Archana B. V.		TRAN, THIEN D		
BLAKELY, SC	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR			
Seventh Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire		2665	$\gamma$	
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025-1026	DATE MAII ED: 03/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
- e-		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/7	10,487	HENCH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Exan	niner	Art Unit			
			D Tran	2665			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE   - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. )) days, a reply within th tutory period will apply will, by statute, cause th	no event, however, may a reply be time statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 22 Decemb	per 2003.				
·		2b)∐ This action					
3)							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4,6-22,24-33 and 35-41 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4, 6-22, 24-33, 35-41 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-	-152)		

Art Unit: 2665

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1, 13, 20, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the best mode contemplated by the inventor has not been disclosed. Evidence of concealment of the best mode is based upon.

Regarding claims 1, 13, 20, 30, the limitation "the transfer function model is simulated without use of direct measurement across the at least one channel" is confused. It is not disclosed in the specification in a way that enables one of ordinary skill in the art to perceive.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application

Art Unit: 2665

being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 14, 6-22, 24-33, 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being participated by Gaikwad et al (U.S Patent No 6317,495 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Gaikwad discloses a method for the determination (prediction) and optimization of a communications system comprising:

inputting data from a plurality of channels of the communications system;

determining (predicting) a performance of at least one of the plurality of channels
using a plurality of parameters to characterize the performance of the channel;

creating at least one transfer function model of the at least one of the plurality of channels, wherein the transfer function model is simulated without use of direct measurements across the at least one of the plurality of channels; and

optimizing the channel transfer function such as function of frequency, signal strength, phase shift, function of transmit spectrum...etc (parameters) of at least one of the plurality of channels in order to improve a bit rate of the at least one of the plurality of channels in the communications system. See col.16 lines 50-65, col.17 line 45, figures 9-14.

Regarding claims 13, Gaikwad discloses asystem for the prediction and optimization of a communications system comprising:

Art Unit: 2665

a determination module (prediction module), wherein the determination module determines (predicts) the performance of at least one channel in the communications system by providing a characterization of at least one parameter that describes the channel;

and an optimization module, wherein the optimization module finds the optimum characterization for the channel based on at least one design criteria. See figures 14, 15, 27, col.21 and 22.

Regarding claim 20, Gaikwad discloses a method for the prediction of the performance of a communications system comprising:

inputting data from at least one channel of the communication system into a prediction module (col.15 lines 10-15);

creating at least one transfer function model of the at least one channel; determining an impairment on the at least one channel (col.16 lines 40-60, figure 9);

characterizing the at least one channel using the at least one transfer function model and the impairment. See col.16 and 17.

Regarding claim 30, Gaikwad discloses a method for the prediction and optimization of a communications system comprising:

inputting data from at least one channel of the communications system;

predicting a performance of at least one of the channels using at least one
parameter to characterize the performance of the channel; and

Art Unit: 2665

optimizing at least one parameter of at least one of the channels in order to improve a bit rate of the at least one of the channels in the communications system. See col.17 lines 40-55.

Regarding claims 2, 31, Gaikwad discloses the determining the performance of the at least one of the plurality of channels comprises:

inputting data from at least one channel of the communications system into a prediction module;

creating at least one transfer function model of the at least one channel; determining an impairment on the at least one channel;

characterizing at least one channel using the at least one transfer function model and the impairment. See figure.9

Regarding claims 3, 21, 32, Gaikwad discloses that at least one transfer function model is created using physical configuration information of the communications system. See col.18 lines 45-65.

Regarding claims 4, 22, 33, Gaikwad discloses that at least one transfer function model is created using a spectrum management system. See col.19 lines 10-25.

Regarding claims 6, 14, 24, 35, Gaikwad discloses that the impairment is selected from the group consisting of: a cross-talk impairment, an AM radio interference, a temperature impairment, and any combination thereof. See col.9 lines 5-35.

Regarding claims 7, 36, Gaikwad discloses the optimizing the parameters comprises: a) choosing a first parameter for the channel;

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/710,487

Art Unit: 2665

- b) choosing a second parameter for the channel;
- c) determining an optimization criteria for the channel based upon the first parameter and the second parameter;
- d) repeating a) c) until the optimization criteria is optimized for the communications system. See figures 10-14.

Regarding claims 8, 15, 25, 37, Gaikwad discloses that the communications system is a wireline communications system. See col.14 lines 50-60.

Regarding claims 9, 16, 26, 38, Gaikwad discloses that the communications system is a wireless communications system. See col.14 lines 50-60.

Regarding claims 10, 17, 27, 39, Gaikwad discloses that the communications system is an optical communications system. See col.14 lines 50-60.

Regarding claims 11, 18, 28, 40, Gaikwad discloses that the communications system is a cable communications system. See col.14 lines 50-60.

Regarding claims 12, 19, 29, 41, Gaikwad discloses that the communications system is a DSL communications system. See col.14 lines 45-60.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/22/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Gaikwad does not disclose that the transfer function model is simulated without use of direct measurements across the at least one of the plurality of channels.

Art Unit: 2665

In response to the Applicant's argument above, limitation "the transfer function model is simulated without use of direct measurements across the at least one of the plurality of channels" is not disclosed in the specification in a way that enable one of ordinary skill in the art to understand the point of the invention. Moreover, the argued limitation is the type of negation of claim's limitation because the "without use" of direct measurements in simulation of the transfer function model does not make the transfer function model different from "the use" of direct measurements in the simulation.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2665

Page 8

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Thien Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-4388. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (703) 308-6602. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Thien Tran

ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alpan as. rom